THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION No. 3556 OF 2006

-

Dated 24th February,2006

-

Between:

B.V.R. Mallikarjun and another.

… Petitioner

And

The University of Hyderabad represented

by its Registrar, Gachibowli, Hyderabad-46.

… Respondents.

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioners question the notification dated 14.5.2005 issued for selection to the posts of Programmers in the respondent-University at Hyderabad.

The only submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that as per clause 4.6 of the notification, two posts are notified and the qualifications stipulated for the said posts are also notified under the same clause. But, however, some unqualified persons were called for, for making appointments to the said posts ignoring the

claims of the petitioners who are already working as Junior Programmers and are qualified to hold the said posts.

Learned counsel for the respondent-University, on instructions, submits that the posts of Programmers are selection posts and after following the due procedure, selections are made and appointments orders have been issued to Chintapally Satya Srinivas and Ch.Rajasekhar. It is submitted that both the candidates who are selected and appointed to the posts of Programmers are qualified as per the notification issued.

In view of the submission of the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent-University that appointment orders have been issued to the persons mentioned above and the appointed candidates fulfill the qualifications-criterion stipulated in the notification, I do not find any valid reason to set aside the notification dated 14.5.2005. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the appointment of the said two persons, it is open to them to question the same. But, however, no further relief can be granted in this writ petition.

Therefore, the writ petition, which is devoid of merits is dismissed. No costs.

_____

2006. **(R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.)**

VR